

Heckington Fen Solar Park EN010123

Appendix 6 Non–Statutory Consultation – Hearing Your Views

Applicant: Ecotricity (Heck Fen Solar) Limited

Document Reference: 5.1.6 Pursuant to: APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

February 2023



CONSULTATION REPORT – APPENDIX 6 NON-STATUTORY CONSULTATION – HEARING YOUR VIEWS

| Document Properties | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Regulation Reference | Regulation 5(2)(q) | | |
| Planning Inspectorate | EN010123 | | |
| Scheme Reference | | | |
| Application Document | 5.1.6 | | |
| Reference | | | |
| Title | Consultation Report - Appendix 6 Non-Statutory | | |
| | Consultation – Hearing | Your Views | |
| Prepared By | Heckington Fen Energ | Heckington Fen Energy Park Project Team | |
| | (Copper Consultancy) | | |
| Version History | | | |
| Version | Date | Version Status | |
| Rev 1 | February 2023 | Application Version | |

Appendix 6 Non-Statutory Consultation – Hearing Your Views

| Non-statutory consultation | | |
|---|--|--|
| Summary Issue | Regard had by the Applicant | |
| Food security | Food security | |
| Respondents suggested that the Project | The Applicant is proposing to set aside 2.15 | |
| could set aside land for agricultural use e.g. | hectares for a community orchard – for nature | |
| to grow soft fruit. They also expressed | conservation and growing soft fruit. | |
| concern that it would change the classification | | |
| of agricultural land and take it out of use, | The growing of soft fruit under the panels was | |
| asking when it would be reinstated. | considered but was not deemed suitable for | |
| | the site. | |
| They also questioned the production of "feed" | | |
| wheat, saying some of the land on the site | The land will still be classified as agricultural | |
| was marketed as Grade 1 and Grade 2 | land. The area underneath and around the | |
| farmland in 1999/2000. They added that the | panels is proposed to be managed as a | |
| land has previously been used to grow | nature conservation pasture with grazing. | |
| sprouts, broccoli, peas, beans, potatoes and | Some areas would not allow grazing during | |
| bulbs. | spring until birds have finished nesting and | |
| | flowers seeded. That period will be followed | |
| Respondents queried whether the land could | by a hay cut and aftermath grazing. | |
| be used for wheat production or animal feed. | | |
| | The land will be reinstated after the project | |
| Respondents queried the suitability of Grade | lifetime (40 years operational life). | |
| 1 agriculture for solar. | | |
| | The southern and western parts of the site | |
| Respondents wanted to know if the Project | previously noted as 'potential biodiversity net | |
| was related to any other schemes being | gain areas' have been removed from the | |
| developed in Lincolnshire and asked for a | Order limits to allow that land to remain in | |
| plan of the site. | agricultural use (some 62ha). | |
| | The environity religned elegenification of the site | |
| | The agricultural land classification of the site | |
| | is based on survey data gathered from over 450 soil samples (taken by soil augers) from | |
| | | |
| | across the land holding (by an independent third party). | |
| | The previous sale particulars are unlikely to | |
| | have been based on this level of detailed | |
| | analysis. | |
| | | |
| | The survey showed that some of the land is | |
| | classified as 'best and most versatile' (grade | |
| | 1 = 11.1%; grade $2 = 7.4%$ and grade $3a =$ | |
| | 30.5%). These higher grades are closer to the | |
| | A17 and scattered amongst tracts of poorer | |
| | soils. It is worth noting that some of the | |
| | seller it to worker nothing that bonno of tho | |

| | better-quality land on Six Hundreds Farm has been left out of the site area altogether (some 62ha). |
|---|--|
| | The vast majority (80%) of the development land is grade 3, and suffers the usual constraints of heavy soils, notably blackgrass infestation and a general susceptibility to wetness, both of which constrain current farming activities. Savills have prepared a detailed note on the practical implications of farming (document reference 6.3.16.1). |
| | One of the Applicant's environmental specialists responded to the query regarding the site's suitability for wheat production directly, based on information from the farm manager, trusted traders and analysts. They let the respondent know that the site is not suitable for economically sustainable wheat production for large scale human consumption, but predominantly used for feed wheat. Further information was provided on the market including reference to big swings in crop quality and yield each year which play a big part in UK bread milling usage. |
| | The Applicant provided a plan of the site via email. They also informed the respondent that the Project is not related to any other schemes being developed in Lincolnshire. |
| Construction traffic Respondents enquired about construction traffic routes. | Construction traffic The Applicant will implement a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) during the construction phase of the Project. They have prepared a draft version of the CTMP as part of the PEIR and the ES, which is submitted with the Application (document reference 7.10). |
| | The aim of the CTMP is to minimise the impact of the construction phase on local residents, businesses and the highway network. |

| | The Applicant will be working closely with the |
|--|--|
| | |
| Flood risk | Flood risk |
| | to their consultation database. |
| | added the Forestry Commission's local team |
| potential species for tree planting. | The Applicant noted the consultees offer and |
| A consultee offered to provide advice on | |
| grazing on site. | under the panels is retained. |
| grazing on site. | The Applicant will ensure the right to graze |
| Additionally, they expressed interest in | process. |
| consulted the RSPB. | amongst others, as part of the consultation |
| Residents asked whether the Applicant has | The Applicant has consulted the RSPB, |
| Biodiversity | Biodiversity |
| | within the Energy Park. |
| | Energy Park, and gapping up hedgerows |
| | new hedgerows along the perimeter of the |
| | The Applicant is also proposing to establish |
| | appropriate species). |
| | appropriate species). |
| | the Energy Park with gapping-up (using |
| cover, and the screening options being considered. | existing hedgerows and lines of trees within |
| cover, and the screening options being | The Applicant will protect and enhance |
| of the solar panels, the area they would | |
| infrastructure, including the proposed height | and ES. |
| visual impact of the Project and associated | the associated infrastructure within the PEIR |
| Consultees wanted to know more about the | The Applicant has provided further details on |
| Visual impact | Visual impact |
| | part of the wind farm application. |
| | for this work were previously approved as |
| | the Energy Park site. The Applicant's plans |
| | a new T-junction of the southern boundary of |
| | retained for the operational phase. This new access point which will require the creation of |
| | majority of the construction phase and will be |
| | access point which will be used for the |
| | The Applicant also intends to construct a new |
| | The Applicant also intends to construct a new |
| | initial stages of construction. |
| proposed site access. | access point near Elm Grange for the very |
| People asked for more information on the | The Applicant is proposing to use the existing |
| Access | |
| • | from the A17 is noted below. |
| | access the cable route. A new access point |
| | |

| Internal Drainage Board (BSIDB) and the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) to mitigate flood risk. As part of this work, they have completed specialist hydraulic modelling. A Flood Risk Assessment will accompany the ES (document reference 6.3.9.1). |
|---|
| Community benefits The Applicant is looking into incorporating a new permissive path, community orchard, and biodiversity enhancement areas into the Project. |
| They are also considering the provision of a community benefit fund, which would be outside of the DCO application process. Any such fund would need to be aligned with Ecotricity's mission – to end the use of fossil fuels and tackle the climate crisis. |
| Supply chain The Applicant has not decided which supplier to use at this stage. They note that solar panels (as with most technology products in the modern economy) could end up being |
| sourced from almost anywhere in the word, including China. |
| The Applicant is firmly committed to maintaining the highest levels of transparency and sustainability throughout their supply chain. Ethical sourcing is a priority for the Applicant. They support the solar industry's efforts to ensure imports are ethically sourced. |
| Wind farm |
| The Applicant is working with a team of environmental specialists to ensure the data that the eventual application is based on is of the highest quality. As the previous wind farm application was based on data collected over many years, the Project team has commissioned additional surveying work to ensure it is still accurate and updated accordingly. This previous data was |
| |

| Keys Group | Keys Group |
|--|---|
| Provided links to Buglife Manual for pollinator friendly mixes and wildflower seeds. | |
| hold much invertebrate interest at present. | |
| Areas or indeed the B-Lines so unlikely to | |
| any of the designated Important Invertebrate | totod and thanked for the update. |
| Confirmed the Energy Park does not fall into | Noted and thanked for the update. |
| Buglife | Buglife |
| and that the Applicant does not need to contact English Heritage. | |
| Confirmed attendance for online presentation | Noted for consultation going forward. |
| Historic England | Historic England |
| Listeria England | which is understood to be constrained locally. |
| | schemes would connect at a lower voltage, |
| | the 400kV network due to its size. Smaller |
| with the grid capacity locally. | The proposed development will connect into |
| Respondents noted that they had struggled | |
| | panels). |
| route would be going. | area for a grid connection only (not for solar |
| They also enquired about where the cable | and subsequent ES. The DCO considers this |
| | grid connection area, as shown in the PEIR, |
| future. | technical experts, the Applicant reduced the |
| it would be to expand the solar park in the | Following surveys and workshops with |
| the grid connection, expressing concern that | connection as surveys were ongoing. |
| | A larger area was initially included for the grid |
| Grid connection | Grid connection |
| albeit costing more, does not need the sun or the wind. | |
| Respondents noted wave and tidal power, | The Applicant has noted this response. |
| Alternative technologies | Alternative technologies |
| Alternative technologies | why they are proposing this Project. |
| | climate crisis needs fighting now, which is |
| | radar systems. The Applicant believes the |
| | over the scheme's potential impact on local |
| | concerns raised by the Ministry of Defence |
| | constructing it due to difficulties satisfying |
| | Ecotricity have been unable to begin |
| | Climate Change in February 2013. However, |
| | then Secretary of State (SoS) for Energy and |
| | proposed wind farm was approved by the |
| | The planning application for Ecotricity's |
| | |
| | relation to noise levels). |
| | was made to it where applicable (e.g. in |

| Confirmed receipt of the newsletter and | Requested property team details (not |
|---|--|
| commented 'sounds exciting' and 'potentially | received). However, a new contact was since |
| something the students could get involved in | provided and remains in contact with the |
| at some point'. Recommended property team | Project Manager. |
| may be another contact. | |
| Bicker Buds | Bicker Buds |
| The Bicker Buds expressed concern at the | The newsletter was posted in two batches, |
| consultation zone not including all properties | which covered all properties in Bicker as |
| in Bicker and questioned how to engage in | taken from a database purchased from |
| the application in future. | Ordnance Survey using Royal Mail data. |
| | Following confirmation of the address Bicker |
| | Buds are on the consultation list. |
| NFU | NFU |
| Expressed interest in receiving a more | The applicant sent a larger scale plan on an |
| detailed map of the location in relation to the | aerial background to see the wider |
| existing landscape. They further reported | landscape. |
| queries on how DCO size solar parks sit | The Applicante response to food production |
| alongside food production in Lincolnshire. | The Applicants response to food production are detailed in 'Food Security' in table 6.2. |
| | are detailed in 1 ood decunity in table 0.2. |